



Implementation of the CTR in the UK

Dr Martyn Ward

20th May 2015



It's a Regulation



Member State considerations

- New legislation development
 - EU
 - UK
- IT systems & process development
 - EU
 - UK

Implementation: EU groups

Commission Ad hoc group

Support the Commission in the preparation of the delegated & implementing acts and in the revision of guidelines/documents.

EMA Portal groups

Development of IT systems to support the implementation of the CT Regulation (ex: workflow, data analysis....)

CTFG

Enlargement and improvement of the VHP

Assist the Commission & EMA in the practical implementation of the new Regulation

To create common approach on safety assessment and reporting

EU CTR Coordination Group

Commission / EMA Portal Group / CTFG

Ensure objectives defined and monitored; avoid duplication of work

Clinical Trials Coordination & Advisory Group (CTAG)

Not yet established

Secondary EU legislation & guidance

- Delegated act (GMP),
- Implementing acts (modalities for inspection, collaboration on assessment of safety data)
- Guidelines/FAQs (GMP, sharing data on a voluntary basis, risk based approaches, etc.)
 - UK leading on IMP/Auxilary Medicinal Product Guidance
 - UK/Germany lead on Risk Proportionality Guidance

Current legislation: CT Directive 2001/20 and implementing National legislation SI 2004.1031 will be repealed

CTR text refers to:

- Member States shall...
- Member States may...

- Establish Ethics Committees
 - Articles 2(11), 4
- Appeal mechanism for decisions on clinical trial applications
 - Articles 8(4), 14(10), 19(2), 20(7) and 23(4)
- Legally designated representative for incapacitated persons and minors
 - Articles 2(20), 31, 32 and 35
- Incapacitated subject
 - Article 2(19), Article 31

- Minors
 - Article 2(18), 32
- Interview prior to informed consent
 - recital 30, Article 29(2)c
- Investigator
 - Article 49
- Auxiliary medicinal products
 - Article 59(3)
- Authorisation of manufacturing and import (+ inspection)
 - Article 61

- Fees
 - Article 86 and 87
- IMPs free of charge
 - Article 92
- Inspections
 - Articles 61(6), 63(4), 78
- Sanctions, penalties
 - Article 94

Current status:

Instructions to lawyers in draft

Next steps

- Legal text drafting
- Consultation Q1 2016
- Re-drafting
- Internal approvals
- Parliamentary approvals
- Publication Q4 2016

EU Portal & Database

- System being developed by EMA
- Input from Member States and stakeholders (F2F and TC)
- Number of subgroups:
 - Sponsor driven activities
 - Member State driven activities
 - Inspections
 - User access
 - Public view
- Key issue: additional workload and resources

UK IT Development

- Development of national IT solutions
 - New MHRA Database
 - Facilitate MHRA/HRA collaboration
 - Facilitate ethics input into assessment and decision processes
 - Interface with EMA portal
- Current Status
 - Awaiting finalisation of EU preparations (interface etc)
 - Initial discussions underway

Implementation – UK starting point

- MHRA has dedicated CTU staff, dbase and workmanagement system
- Nationally coordinated Ethics Service (common SOPs and processes, centralised training, central booking)
- Fully electronic working MHRA & Ethics databases
- Integrated Research Application System (MHRA & RECs)
- Memorandum of Understanding (MHRA & RECs)
- REC numbers 220 → 60 over last 15 years

Challenges

- Communications complexity
- Ethics workload only 20% CTIMP (but need common processes)
- Stakeholder communication and training
- Timeframes
- Harmonisation of working practices across EU
- Resource

Opportunities

- Simpler, consistent and more streamlined process for sponsors
- Adoption of common processes and approaches by NCAs and Ethics committees
- More harmonised decision making across EU
- Potential for 'light touch' assessment for multi-state trials – better use of resources
- Fee earning potential for reporting MS role
- Increased numbers of trials attracted to EU



How will the Regulation affect early phase studies?

- The scope of the legislation has not changed. It still applies only to interventional studies of medicinal products.
- Mainly same considerations as for other phases (introduces concept of 'low-intervention' trial)
- Early phase investigator shouldn't see much change to conduct of a trial. It is the application and reporting processes that have been streamlined.

How will the Regulation affect early phase studies?

- Aim is for UK to remain a preferred location in EU/global for early phase trials
- What commercial sponsors need is certainty
 - authorisation timelines
 - decision making
 - costs
- Early Phase trials tend to be mono-national
 - greater national control over process

How will the Regulation affect early phase studies?

Timelines:

 Directive: 30 days for initial assessment, ~14 days to respond to a GNA and final determination by day 60.

In the UK we have a voluntary target of 14 days average for initial assessment for phase 1 studies.

 Regulation: 45 days for initial assessment, max 31 days added if further information is required. Final decision on trial (part 1 and 2) through portal within 5 days → total 81 days (add 50 more if ATMP)

UK will maintain a competitive timeline for early phase studies for a mononational trial. (Need to consider input from Ethics)

In Summary

- A lot of work to do, both at EU and national level
- Limited resource availability
- Potential is great if we get it right!

Thank You

Any Questions?

